

Users facility point of view and offering

Aksela, van der Veen, Vandromme

The access program is vital and at present too small. Inform politicians.
Identification of added value.

For countries without synchrotrons the access to other national facilities is essential.

Not easy to get travel and subsistence funds from the home country for going abroad.

Prevents brain drain .

Access could be gained by collaborative research, building a PRT type beamline.

Other means of access would be secondment of post-docs, technicians etc
Collaboration on education via joint educational programs.

The judgement of proposals should be transparent with clear criteria and based on scientific merit or technical importance.

Access to beamtime could be made more flexible by adopting blocktype allocation. This could also add possibilities for training.

The transnational access should be open access. Proposals should be judged by an International review board and judged based on feasibility, scientific merit and experience by the group.

One can argue that the quality of science in the country of the national facility is improved by influx of competition and experience from abroad.

Bilateral agreements should be supported by EU.

Set aside time for training.

European integration should be looked into.

Remote access and datagrids should be explored.