

# A novel 60 MW Pulsed Power System based on Capacitive Energy Storage

Jean-Paul Burnet / CERN



Energy Management for Large-Scale Research Infrastructures

14 October 2011



Introduction to CERN Proton synchrotron

Presentation of the new power system POPS

Basic rules to minimize power consumption with particles accelerators

Conclusions

# The CERN accelerator complex



- The PS was the first large accelerator at CERN (1957).
- The PS is a part of the injection chain of the LHC.
- It is a cycling machine (1.2s).
- It is composed of 100 magnets to guide the beam.

### Introduction to PS machine







- ✤ 600m of circumference
  - The main load of the accelerator is the 101 main magnets connected in series, making a total load  $0.9H/0.32\Omega$





The PS machine is running around 6000h per year, 24h per day, 7 days per week, 8 to 9 months per years.

A technical stop of 8 hours is schedule every 12 weeks.

Each cycle takes 1.2s or 2.4s.

6 to 8 millions of cycle are executed per year.





### Power(t) = I\_magnet(t) x V\_magnet(t)

The peak power needed for the main magnets is  $\pm 40$  MW with a dynamic of 1MW per ms The average power is only 4MW !!!

```
The challenge:
Power a machine which needs a peak power 10 times the average power
with a very high dynamic !!!
```



### **\***1959

As the electrical network was too weak, a motor-generator set was chosen.



1968A new machine was build to double the power



7

## How to power such a machine?

<u>Ratings</u>	
Load:	0.9 H / 0.32 Ω
DC output:	6 kA / 12 kV
Generator:	90 MVA
Motor:	6 MW
Speed:	1000 rpm
Rotors weight:	80 +10 tons

#### 42 MVA ALTERNATOR 6 MW MOTOR. 6 KY 66EV М G <u>ه</u> SE POWER INVERTER -0 ¥¥ 4x 6 FULSE CONTROLLED Ł ¥ Ł 3 RECTIPIERS CONFUT L OUTPUT FILTER \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ -11-Δ Δ HDF/BOS (SKV

PS BENDONS MAGNETS (100+1)

#### Few numbers:

Number of cycles per year: 6-8 millions Number of cycles since 1968: >300 millions!!!

Total losses of the power system 1.5MW 90m<sup>3</sup> of tap water to cool -down the power system



The 6MW motor drags a heavy (80 tons) rotor of the generator.

The kinetic energy of the rotors is 233MJ at 1000rpm.

When the magnet current ramp to 6kA, the motor will rapidly saturate at 6MW.

Then, the speed of the motor will decrease up to 5%.

When the current returns to zero, the energy of the magnets will reaccelerate the rotor at the nominal speed.

With this system, only the losses of the magnets is taken of the electrical network.

During each cycle, up to 14MJ is exchanged between the kinetic energy of the rotors and the magnets.





Generator speed variation during a cycle

# Basic principle with this rotating machine



Energy from the mains



Kinetic energy= 1/2.m.v<sup>2</sup> =233MJ at 1000rpm

Magnetic energy=1/2.LI<sup>2</sup> =12MJ at 5.4kA

Losses of the magnet= R\*I<sup>2</sup> =10MJ at 5.4kA per cycle

Magnet energy



### Many studies were done between 2003 - 2007

Electrical network:

Connect the load directly to a very high power network (400kV)

Kinetic storage:

Is it still a solution for this type of load? We didn't find any interested supplier

New types of local energy storage system Batteries Capacitors Supercaps SMES: Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage





The full power comes from the network.

Requires a very high power network: Peak power < 1% Short-circuit Power of the network.

The thyristor rectifiers are connected via transformers to the 400kV network Needs a reactive power compensation (SVC)

Advantages:

- Industrial solution but not off-the-self
- > Return of the magnet energy to the network

### Drawbacks:

- > Limit of the performances due to thyristor technology
- > Exchange of energy with a power plant
- > Bad use of a 400kV power line

Proposal approved by one of the CERN electricity supplier EDF (FR) but not by EOS (CH).

Examples of this type of powering:

SPS at CERN, 140MW peak power, 40MW average, 14s cycle

✤ ITER





The idea is to reproduce the same principle as with the present rotating system.

- > Need energy storage devices
  - Batteries
  - > Capacitors
  - > Supercapacitors
  - SMES (see next slide)

	Batteries		Capacitors		Supercaps	
Energy Density	100-700kJ/kg		300J/kg		100-500kJ/kg	
Charge Discharge cycles	Limited < 10000		Unlimited		Limited < 100000	

Only power capacitors can do millions of charge discharge cycles !!!!

- Need the associated power electronics
  - > Design a topology which can integrate the energy storage inside the power converter



CERN did a study to design a SMES for the PS machine with ITP Karlsruhe (DE)

Seems possible but the stored energy must be very high to limit the variation of magnetic field. Typically, 80 MJ to exchange 14 MJ with the magnets





The energy to be transferred to the magnets is stored in capacitors The capacitor banks are integrated in the power converter

- DC/DC converters transfer the power from the storage capacitors to the magnets.
- Four flying capacitors banks are not connected directly to the mains. They are charged via the magnets
- Only two AC/DC converters (called chargers) are connected to the mains and supply the losses of the system and of the magnets.







Stored magnetic energy





Power to the magnets



### Capacitor banks voltage



#### Power from the mains = Magnet resistive losses



-- Losses

POPS is the name of this new power system. POwer converter for the PS main magnets.







Capacitor banks

- 5kV Dry capacitors
- Polypropylene metalized self healing
- Outdoor containers: 2.5m x 12m, 18 tons
- 0.247F per bank, 126 cans
- 1 DC fuse
- 1 earthing switch
- 3 MJ stored per bank

60 tons of capacitors divided in 6 capacitor banks making in total 18.5MJ

Up to 14MJ can be extracted during a cycle!

The capacitors represent 20% of the total system cost.





The DC/DC converters are built with industrial motor drives produced in series. CONVERTEAM have 5GW of this drive in operation!!!

- Two industrial drives (2.6kA /5kV) makes a DC converter
- NPC topology, press-pack IGBT
- 14 industrial drives making a total installed power of 120MW
- 168 power IGBTs are working together!
- Main developments were done for the control

Queen Mary 2 = 4 \* 21 MW



Total losses of the power system: 600kW 1 MW saved compared to previous system + 90m<sup>3</sup> of tap water!

Energy is only consumed when pulsing!





20

Friday 11 February 2011 at 11H11: First beam with POPS !!!



### http://op-webtools.web.cern.ch/op-webtools/vistar/vistars.php?usr=CPS



Reminder: it is a high-precision power supply

the output current shall be controllable with a precision of 10ppm, 10<sup>-5</sup> of IMax = 60mA !!!



With POPS, CERN demonstrated that it is possible to design a power system which takes the strict minimum required energy from the electrical network, avoiding large power fluctuation on the mains.

Here are some rules for pulsed machine which can be retained for new facilities:

- Rule 1: Power factor = 1
- > Rule 2: The stored magnetic energy of the magnets shall be recuperated (no dissipation)
- Rule 3: The stored magnetic energy of the magnets shall be recuperate locally for the next cycle no return to the mains
- Rule 4: The peak power taken on the mains shall not exceed the maximum power dissipated in the magnets
- > Rule 5: The peak power taken on the mains shall be very close to the average power of the magnets

Rule 1 and 2 saves energy.

Rule 3 saves energy on the distribution network and reduces power fluctuation.

Rule 4 needs an energy management

Rule 5 saves energy and reduces the energy taken on the mains to the strict minimum.

#### Rule 1 to 5, from simplicity to complexity, from basic technology to advanced technology

21



### Stored energy of the magnets



Ξ

Rule 2 and 3: energy to be recuperated locally



Resistive losses of the magnets

Rule 4: peak power on the mains ≤ Losses of the magnets



Rule 5: power taken on the mains ~ magnet average power



22



Case study 1:

CNAO ( the Italian Hadrontherapy center) Thyristor converters with reactive power compensator peak power 10MVA Average power 4MVA ±5MVA (main dipoles) Cycle duration 1.2s Network fluctuation controlled by reactive power compensator

The main dipoles are the biggest load of the machine (50%).

The thyristor converters allow the return of the energy to the mains but require a reactive compensator. The energy is recuperated but the flow of power is very high on the network.

Rules 1 and 2 are respected.



Case study 2:

Medaustron (new centre for ion-therapy, Austria) Switch-mode converters with capacitor bank machine peak power 11MWA Average power 4.5MVA Cycle duration 1.2s power factor = 0.95 Network fluctuation not controlled <2% Power transformer 16MVA (110kV / 20kV)

All the power converters for magnets will have a capacitor bank to recuperate the magnetic energy during each cycle.

Only the main power converter for the dipoles will have an energy management and will respect rule 4.

Medaustron respects rule 1, 2, 3 and partially 4 (only the dipoles).





Advanced power electronics associated with storage devices allow a better energy management between the load and the network.

With POPS, CERN demonstrated that it is possible to design a power system which takes the strict minimum required energy from the electrical network, avoiding large power fluctuation on the mains, and minimizing the total losses.

Electrical storage devices are key elements, Will SMES can play a major role in a near future?.

Power quality is a critical issue for particles accelerators, a local energy storage helps to solve this problem.

5 rules are proposed to build new facilities.

Smart power management is possible for particles accelerators.!

Be smart, choose the most advanced technology!

